DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name: PPG Industries, Inc., Research & Development Center

Facility Address: 151 Colfax Street, Springdale, PA 15144

Facility EPA ID #: PAD 00 065 0366

1.	Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in th EI determination?				
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or			
		if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.			

BACKGROUND

<u>Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)</u>

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	Rationale / Key Contaminants	
Groundwater		_X_		No record of contamination.	
Air (indoors)2		_X_		No record of contamination.	
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		_X_		No record of contamination.	
Surface Water		_X_		Releases were addressed and corrected.	
Sediment		_X_		No record of contamination.	
Subsurface Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		_X_		No record of contamination.	
Air (outdoors)		_X_		No record of contamination.	
X If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.					
If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.					
If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.					

Rationale and Reference(s):

Surface Water:

There were minor releases from the retaining ponds and the interceptor pit to the Allegheny River. These releases, which measured a Total Carbon reading above 100 ppm, were reported to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP). After an extensive assessment for each release, the PADEP determined that the small quantity releases posed minimal risk. The principal mechanism of remediation was natural attenuation by the river (i.e, biodegradation and dilution). (EI Inspection Report, 2/00)

All other media:

There are no records of suspected releases that are above protective risk-based "levels" by the facility. (EI Inspection Report, 2/00)

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food
Groundwater							
Air (indoors)							
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Soil							
Sediment							
Subsurface Soil (e.g., >2 ft)							
Air (outdoors)			_				

Instructions for **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above.
- 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("___"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

 If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) inplace, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze				
 major pathways). If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.				
 If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code				

Rationale and Reference(s):

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 4

4.	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude					
	acceptable "level	ls") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?				
	,	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially				
			"unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures			
		(from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not				
		expected to be "significant."				
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially				
		"unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a				
		description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining				
		complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be				
		"significant."				
		If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code				
	Rationale and Re	ference(s):				

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 5

	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
	If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code

Page 6

6.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):					
	<u>X</u>	YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the PPG Industries, Inc., Research & Development Center facility, EPA ID # PAD 00 065 0366, located at 151 Colfax Street, Springdale, PA 15144 under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.				
		NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."				
		IN - More information is need	led to make a determination.			
	Completed by	(signature) (print) Khai M. Dao (title) Remedial Project Manage	Date <u>02-17-00</u>			
	Supervisor	(signature) (print) Paul Gotthold (title) PA. Operations Branch C (EPA Region or State) EPA, Re				
	Locations where	e References may be found:				
	US EPA Region III Waste and Chemical Mgmt. Division 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103					
	Contact telephone number and email:					
	(name) (phone (e-mail)					

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES ELIS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.